

Structure determination of Bi/Ge(111)- $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})R30^\circ$ by dynamical low-energy electron diffraction analysis and scanning tunneling microscopy

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2009 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21 405001 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/21/40/405001)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 30/05/2010 at 05:31

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21 (2009) 405001 (6pp)

Structure determination of Bi/Ge(111)-($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$)R30° by dynamical low-energy electron diffraction analysis and scanning tunneling microscopy

Yoshiyuki Ohtsubo^{1,2}, Shinichiro Hatta^{1,2}, Manabu Iwata¹, Koichiro Yaji^{1,2}, Hiroshi Okuyama¹ and Tetsuya Aruga^{1,2}

 ¹ Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
² JST CREST, Saitama 332-0012, Japan

E-mail: aruga@kuchem.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Received 14 July 2009 Published 8 September 2009 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/21/405001

Abstract

We have determined the atomic structure of the Bi/Ge(111)- $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30° surface by dynamical low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) analysis and scanning tunneling microscopy (STM). The optimized atomic structure consists of Bi atoms which are adsorbed near the T₁ sites of the bulk-truncated Ge(111) surface and form triangular trimer units centered at the T₄ sites. The atomically resolved STM image was consistent with the LEED result. The structural parameters agree well with those optimized by a first-principles calculation which supports the interpretation of the electronic band splitting on this surface in terms of the giant Rashba effect.

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction

Recently, a great deal of attention has been paid to the large spin splitting of surface bands due to the Rashba spin–orbit interaction [1, 2]. It has been shown that the large Rashba spin splitting is realized on surfaces of heavy elements such as Au [3] and Bi [4, 5]. The Rashba spin splitting was also observed on substrates of lighter elements, such as Ag, covered with heavy elements [6, 7]. This suggests that the giant Rashba spin splitting is also possible on the surfaces of semiconductors such as Si and Ge, implying a possibility of novel spin transport phenomena on surfaces.

We recently studied the electronic structure of the Bi/Ge(111)- $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30° surface. On this surface, Rashba-like band splitting was observed by angle-resolved photoelectron spectroscopy (ARPES) [8]. The splitting appeared to be quite large compared to those on the Bi surfaces. A theoretical approach based on accurate atomic structure provides further insight into the surface electronic structure and spin splitting [9–11]. Therefore, the precise

structural determination of Bi/Ge(111)- $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30° was indispensable for studying the large spin splitting.

The submonolayer to monolayer (ML) coverages of group-15 elements on semiconductor (111) surfaces lead to various atomic structures. Here, we define 1 ML as the atom density of bulk-truncated Si or Ge(111). The P/Ge(111) [12], Sb/Si(111) [13-15] and Bi/Si(111) [16-19] surfaces at 1 ML have the $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})R30^\circ$ structure with a common adatom geometry (T_4 trimer). Adatoms adsorb near the T_1 sites of bulk-truncated Si or Ge(111) and form triangular trimer units centered at the T₄ sites. On Bi/Si(111), another type of the $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30° structure (T₄ monomer) is also formed, depending on the sample preparation conditions. In the T₄ monomer structure, Bi adatoms of 1/3 ML adsorb on every third T_4 site of bulk-truncated Si(111). This structure is similar to those observed on the Si(111) surfaces covered with group-13 elements [20]. On Bi/Ge(111), the T_4 monomer structure was reported in the study based on dynamical LEED analysis [21]. On the other hand, a density-functional-theory (DFT) calculation predicted that the T₄ monomer, T₄ trimer



Figure 1. (a) LEED pattern of Ge(111)-c(2 × 8) at room temperature. (b) LEED pattern of Bi/Ge(111)- $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30° at 110 K ($E_p = 144$ eV).

and bare reconstructed Ge(111) structures coexist at coverages below 1/3 ML and that the T₄ trimer structure is the most stable phase at 1 ML [18]. However, the T₄ trimer phase has not been confirmed experimentally.

In this work, we attempted to clarify the atomic structure of the Bi/Ge(111)- $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30° structure by dynamical LEED analysis and scanning tunneling microscopy (STM). The intensity versus voltage (*I*–*V*) curves in an energy range much wider than in the former work [21] were collected and analyzed. The analysis yielded the T₄ trimer structure. The atomically resolved STM image was consistent with this result. The optimized structural parameters show a good agreement with those obtained by the first-principles calculation.

2. Experimental details

Experiments were performed in two separate ultrahigh vacuum chambers, one for STM and the other for LEED. The base pressure was $\sim 1 \times 10^{-10}$ Torr in the STM chamber and $\sim 2 \times 10^{-10}$ Torr in the LEED chamber. A variable-temperature STM (Oxford Instruments) with a chemically etched polycrystalline tungsten tip was used. All the STM images were taken in the constant-current (topographic) mode at room temperature.

The Ge(111) samples were sliced from a non-doped single crystal. The clean Ge(111) surface was prepared by repeated cycles of Ar ion sputtering at 750 eV and annealing up to ~950 K until a sharp $c(2 \times 8)$ LEED pattern was obtained as shown in figure 1. Bi was deposited from an alumina crucible heated by a tungsten wire loop with the surface temperature kept at <320 K. Upon Bi deposition, the $c(2 \times 8)$ pattern gradually disappeared, after which diffuse (2×1) or (2×2) and well-contrasted (1×1) patterns were successively observed [22]. Post-annealing of the (1×1) surface at ~700 K for 5 min resulted in a sharp $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30° LEED pattern as shown in figure 1.

Normal-incidence LEED patterns at 80–450 eV were recorded at 110 K at an interval of 2 eV by a computercontrolled image acquisition system. The I-V curves were normalized to the primary beam current and averaged over the symmetrically equivalent beams according to the plane symmetry group p31m. The obtained dataset consisted of seven inequivalent beams ((1 0), (0 1), (1 1), (1/3 1/3), (2/3 2/3), (1/3 4/3), (4/3 1/3)), and had a total energy range of 2296 eV. The I-V curves appear to have characteristics very similar to those reported previously [21].

The Barbieri–Van Hove symmetrized automated tensor LEED (SATLEED) package was used to simulate the I-V curves for different structural models [23]. Crystal potentials for Ge and Bi were described by phase shifts obtained by the Barbieri–Van Hove phase shift package. We used phase shifts up to $l_{\text{max}} = 8$ for an initial screening to rule out inadequate models, and up to $l_{\text{max}} = 12$ for the optimization of the accurate atomic structure. The calculated and experimental I-V curves were compared by means of the reliability factor defined by Pendry (R_P) [24]. The thermal effect was taken into account in the calculation by optimizing the Debye temperature for each atomic layer. The errors in the structural parameters were evaluated with the Pendry RR function [24].

The density-functional-theory (DFT) calculations were performed by employing the WIEN2k computer code [25, 26]. This calculation utilizes the full-potential 'augmented plane wave + local orbitals' (APW + lo) method [27, 28] and the PBE96 generalized gradient approximation (GGA) [29] to construct the exchange and correlation potentials. We used a slab geometry consisting of Bi and 10 Ge(111) layers to simulate the Bi/Ge(111)- $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30° surface. The Bi atoms were arranged according to several adatom geometries (see figure 2) including the T₄ trimer structure. Then the positions of all Bi and Ge atoms were optimized until the rootmean-square force became smaller than 2 mRyd au⁻¹. (For details about surface electronic structure calculated for this surface structure, see [8].)

3. Results and discussion

In dynamical LEED analysis, we examined eleven structural models. The top and side views of these models are shown in figure 2. The Bi coverage is 1/3 ML for models 1–4 and 1 ML for models 5–11. Models 1 and 2 are the same as those examined in the former LEED analysis [21]. In models 1–3, Bi atoms are located on the threefold symmetry sites (T₄, H₃ and T₁, respectively) on the bulk-truncated Ge(111)-(1 × 1) surface. In models 4 and 5, the topmost Ge atoms of the bulk-truncated surface are replaced with Bi atoms. In model 5, the height variation of neighboring Bi atoms is allowed in the ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$)R30° periodicity. In models 6–11, Bi trimer units are located at T₄ (models 6 and 7), H₃ (8 and 9) and T₁ (10 and 11) sites with different orientations. Models 1 and 6 correspond to the T₄-monomer and T₄ trimer structures, respectively, mentioned above.

We first optimized the atomic positions of Bi and Ge atoms in the first two Ge layers for the eleven models. The displacements were done in the way according to the plane symmetry group p31m. The calculated R_P values for all models are shown in figure 3. Model 6 yielded a notably small R_P value of 0.25. Since the variance of R_P was 0.03, the other models were ruled out. We further optimized the structural parameters of Bi adatoms and the first four Ge layers for model 6. The Debye temperatures for the Bi adatoms and the topmost layer Ge atoms were also optimized, which yielded 120 K for Bi and 374 K for the first-layer Ge atoms. These were almost



Figure 2. Eleven examined structure models for Bi/Ge(111)- $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30°. Filled and open circles represent Bi and Ge atoms, respectively.



Figure 3. (a) Comparison of R_P for the structural models examined. (b) Comparison of the experimental (full) and calculated (dashed) I-V curves for the optimized T_4 trimer model. (c) Top and side views of the T_4 trimer model. Filled and open circles represent Bi and Ge atoms, respectively.

the same values as the bulk values for Bi (119 K) and Ge (374 K). Those for Ge in the deeper layers had been fixed at the bulk value. The imaginary part of the inner potential was fixed at -5 eV. We eventually obtained $R_P = 0.22$. The comparison between the experimental and simulated *I*–*V* curves shows a good overall agreement as shown in figure 3.

The optimized atom positions of the T_4 trimer structure (model 6) are listed in table 1. The atom labels of the Bi and Ge atoms correspond to those indicated in figure 3. Figure 4 shows the interatomic distances obtained by the dynamical LEED analysis and the DFT calculation, which shows a good overall agreement. In order to clarify the relaxation from the



Figure 4. The bond lengths of the T_4 trimer structure optimized by LEED *I*–*V* analysis and by the DFT calculation. The atom labels correspond to those in figure 3(c).

Table 1. Atomic positions of the T_4 trimer model optimized by dynamical LEED analysis. The errors of the first six atoms are in parentheses (since R_P is not sensitive to atomic positions of deeper atoms, errors of them from the Pendry *RR* function are not significant). *N* represents the lateral displacements that are zero due to the symmetry.

Atom	<i>x</i> (Å)	y (Å)	z (Å)
1 Bi	1.73 (0.08)	0.00(N)	0.00 (0.02)
2 Ge	2.28 (0.07)	0.00(N)	2.69 (0.02)
3 Ge	3.46 (N)	2.00(N)	3.45 (0.02)
4 Ge	0.00(N)	0.00(N)	3.66 (0.04)
5 Ge	3.46 (N)	2.00(N)	5.91 (0.02)
6 Ge	0.00(N)	0.00(N)	6.08 (0.05)
7 Ge	1.17	2.03	6.77
8 Ge	1.15	2.00	9.36
9 Ge	2.30	0.00	10.04
10 Ge	2.32	0.00	12.48
11 Ge	0.00	0.00	13.34
12 Ge	3.46	2.00	13.27

bulk structure, the bulk nearest-neighbor Bi–Bi and Ge–Ge distances (3.05 and 2.45 Å, respectively) and the average of them (2.75 Å) are indicated by dotted lines.

In order to check if there coexist $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})R30^\circ$ phases with different atomic structures, we measured I-V curves at room temperature after the surface covered with 3 ML of Bi was annealed at temperatures from 420 to 770 K in which the $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30° LEED pattern was observed. Annealing above 800 K resulted in the diffuse $c(2 \times 8)$ LEED pattern, indicating the desorption of the Bi adatoms. Since I-V curves are sensitive to surface atomic structure, the peak positions of the *I*-V curves would change if different $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30° structures with different stability are formed. However, as shown in figure 5, no significant change was observed over the whole temperature range. We also confirmed that the photoelectron intensity of the Bi 5d core level was not changed upon annealing the surface with 1 ML Bi up to 740 K. We conclude that the $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30° surface is monophase under the preparation conditions employed. Note that this does not rule out all the possibilities of existence of the T₄ monomer. Bi deposition below 1/3 ML before annealing may induce the T₄ monomer structure.



Y Ohtsubo et al

Figure 5. I-V curves from $(1/3 \ 1/3)$ (a) and $(2/3 \ 2/3)$ (b) spots. Each I-V curve was measured after the deposition of 3 ML Bi followed by annealing for 5 min at indicated temperatures.



Figure 6. Atomically resolved STM image for Bi/Ge(111)- $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30° (28 × 42 Å²) at room temperature. $V_s = -50.0$ mV and $I_t = 2.00$ nA.

We observed the Bi/Ge(111)- $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30° surface by STM. Figure 6 shows an atomically resolved filled-state STM image. A white parallelogram indicates the $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30° unit cell. The STM image shows protrusions forming a triangular trimer structure as indicated by the white dashed circles. These trimers are arranged according to the $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30° periodicity and the observed distance of the protrusions within the trimer structure as optimized by the LEED I-V analysis and the DFT calculation.

The characteristic structural parameters of the Bi/Ge(111)-($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$)R30° surface are compared in table 2 with those for the T₄ trimer structures on Sb/Si(111) and Bi/Si(111) surfaces. On Bi/Ge(111), the Bi–Bi distance in a timer unit is 2.99 Å. This value is very close to the nearest-neighbor distance in bulk Bi, 3.05 Å, as is that in the Bi/Si(111)-($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$)R30°- β surface [16–18]. On the Sb/Si(111)-($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$)R30° surface [14, 15], the corresponding value is also close to the nearest-neighbor distance in bulk Sb crystal. The local bonding geometry of the adatom in the T₄ trimer structure resembles the

Table 2. Characteristic structural parameters for the T_4 trimer structure on the Si(111) and Ge(111) surfaces. (See figure 3 for the definition.)

Surface	a (Å) ^a	b (Å) ^b	θ (deg)	$d_{1-2}(\text{\AA})$	d_{2-3} (Å) ^c	d_{2-4} (Å) ^c	References
Sb/Si(111)	2.92	3.63	9.5	2.44	0.87	0.95	[14]
	2.94	3.57	8	2.55	0.62	0.90	[15]
Bi/Si(111)	3.05	3.74	8.5	2.64	0.69	0.87	[18]
Bi/Ge(111)	2.99	3.95	12	2.75	0.76	0.97	This work (LEED)
	3.01	3.88	11	2.68	0.76	0.94	This work (DFT)

^a Bulk nearest-neighbor distance is 2.90 Å for Sb and 3.05 Å for Bi.

^b Distance on the ideal (1 \times 1) surface is 3.84 Å for Si and 4.00 Å for Ge.

^c Bulk distance is 0.78 Å for Si and 0.82 Å for Ge.

bulk structure: In bulk Bi and Sb crystals, atoms are bonded to three nearest-neighbor atoms with bond angles near 90° , which is in agreement with the p³-like hybridization of Bi and Sb atoms.

Because the Bi-Bi (Sb-Sb) distances in the trimer is shorter than the nearest-neighbor Ge-Ge (Si-Si) ones in the topmost layer, the trimer formation induces lattice strain in the substrate. In the topmost Ge layer, the outer Ge(2) atoms are horizontally displaced toward the T₄ site. On Sb/Si(111), the horizontal displacements of Si atoms are larger, due probably to the shorter Sb-Sb distance as compared to Bi-Bi. On the other hand, the second-layer Ge(3, 4) atoms make vertical relaxations: the Ge(4) atom beneath the Bi trimer is moved downward and the other Ge(3) upward. Because of the trimer formation, the Bi(1)–Ge(2) bond is tilted by 11.5° from the surface normal. The relaxation in the topmost Ge bilayer keeps the bond angles 1-2-3 (101.5°), 1-2-4 (113.7°) and 3-2-4 (108.8°) close to that for the sp³ hybridized orbital (109.5°). The same tendency is observed on the other T_4 trimer surfaces and thus the strain induced by the Bi trimer formation is efficiently relaxed. Note also that each surface atom has a number of the nearest-neighbor atoms equal to those in their bulk crystals (three for Bi and four for Ge). This is probably why the Debye temperatures of all atoms remain at the bulk values.

In spite of the similarity of the I-V curves, the structure obtained for the Bi/Ge(111)- $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30° surface is different from that reported previously [21]. This probably comes from the variety of the examined structural models. We believe that, if the previous authors had calculated I-V curves for the T₄ trimer structure, it would have shown a better agreement than that for the T₄ monomer structure. In both experimental works, Bi above 1 ML was deposited on clean Ge(111) before preparing ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$)R30° surfaces by annealing. Therefore, the result does not conflict with that of the former DFT calculations [18] that predicted the T₄ trimer structure to be the stable structure at 1 ML.

4. Conclusion

We determined the atomic structure of the Bi/Ge(111)-($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}$)R30° surface by means of the dynamical LEED analysis and STM. The optimized structure consists of triangular Bi trimer units on T₄ sites of the bulk-truncated Ge(111) surface. The local bonding geometry of Bi is similar to that in bulk Bi crystal. There remain no dangling bonds and the interatomic distances of surface atoms are close to those in the bulk crystals. In the DFT calculation [8] based on the structure optimized in this work, large spin splitting due to the Rashba spin–orbit interaction is found in the occupied states having a significant contribution of the Bi 6s and 6p orbitals, which is consistent with the ARPES result.

Acknowledgment

The authors acknowledge the support by the Global COE Program 'Integrated Materials Science' (no. B-09).

References

- [1] Rashba E I 1960 Sov. Phys.—Solid State 2 1109
- [2] Bychkov Y A and Rashba E I 1984 JETP Lett. 39 78
- [3] LaShell S, McDougall B A and Jensen E 1996 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77 3419
- [4] Koroteev Yu M, Bihlmayer G, Gayone J E, Chulkov E V, Blügel S, Echenique P M and Hofmann Ph 2004 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93 046403
- [5] Hofmann Ph 2006 Prog. Surf. Sci. 81 191–245
- [6] Nakagawa T, Ohgami O, Saito Y, Okuyama H, Nishijima M and Aruga T 2007 *Phys. Rev.* B **75** 155409
- [7] Ast C R, Henk J, Ernst A, Moreschini L, Falub M C, Pacilé D, Bruno P, Kern K and Grioni M 2007 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98 186807
- [8] Hatta S, Ohtsubo Y, Okuyama H and Aruga T 2009 submitted
- [9] Hatta S, Kato C, Tsuboi N, Takahashi S, Harasawa A, Okuda T, Kinoshita T, Okuyama H and Aruga T 2007 *Phys. Rev.* B 76 075427
- [10] Hatta S, Kato C, Takahashi S, Harasawa A, Okuda T, Kinoshita T, Okuyama H and Aruga T 2008 *Phys. Rev.* B 77 245236
- [11] Hatta S, Ohtomo R, Kato C, Sakata O, Okuyama H and Aruga T 2008 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20 395226
- [12] Vitali L, Ramsey M G and Netzer F P 2001 *Phys. Rev.* B 63 165320
- [13] Mårtensson P, Meyer G, Amer N M, Kaxiras E and Pandey K C 1990 Phys. Rev. B 42 7230
- [14] Kim C, Walko D A and Robinson I K 1997 Surf. Sci. 388 242
- [15] Bengió S, Martin M, Avila J, Asensio M C and Ascolani H 2002 Phys. Rev. B 65 205326
- [16] Wan K J, Guo T, Ford W K and Hermanson J C 1991 Phys. Rev. B 44 3471
- [17] Nakatani S, Takahashi T, Kuwahara Y and Aono M 1995 Phys. Rev. B 52 R8711
- [18] Cheng C and Kunc K 1997 Phys. Rev. B 56 10283
- [19] Schmidt T M, Miwa R H and Srivastava G P 2004 Braz. J. Phys. 34 629
- [20] LaFemina J P 1992 *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **16** 138 and references therein

- [21] Wan K J, Ford W K, Lapeyre G J and Hermanson J C 1991 Phys. Rev. B 44 6500
- [22] Hatta S, Ohtsubo Y, Miyamoto S, Okuyama H and Aruga T 2009 *Appl. Surf. Sci.* at press
- [23] Barbieri A and Van Hove M A Symmetrized Automated Tensor Leed (SATLEED) Package available from M A Van Hove http://electron.lbl.gov/vanhove.html
- [24] Pendry J B 1980 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 13 937
- [25] Blaha P, Schwarz K, Madsen G K H, Kvasnicka K and Luitz J 2001 WIEN2k, An Augmented Plane Wave + Local

Orbital Program for Calculating Crystal Properties Karlheinz Schwarz, Technical Universität Wien, Wien, Austria

- [26] Schwarz K, Blaha P and Madsen G K H 2001 Comput. Phys. Commun. 147 71
- [27] Sjöstedt E, Nordström L and Singh D J 2000 Solid State Commun. 114 15
- [28] Madsen G K H, Blaha P, Schwarz K, Sjöstedt E and Nordström L 2001 Phys. Rev. B 64 195134
- [29] Perdew J P, Curke K and Ernzerhof M 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 3865